

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/720,676	11/25/2003	Lloyd G. Ratchford	111418.00420	6269
	590 05/27/2004		EXAMINER	
BLANK ROME LLP 600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W.		·	TA, THO DAC	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
		1	DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	all
Office Action O	10/720,676	RATCHFORD, LLOYI	UN DG.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tho D. Ta	2833	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a only within the statutory minimum of the lively will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC less the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm	unication.
Status	·		,
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		tters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	, ,
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<i>j</i>	•
Disposition of Claims		}	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	own from consideration.	,	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November 2003</u> is/a		objected to by the Examine	r.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 25 LLC C	° 110(a) (d) a= (6)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i priority under 35 0.5.0.	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received		•
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			70
application from the International Burea		r received in this Mational Stat	ye
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received	
		-·· - • ·	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/04.	5) Motice of 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152 ——·)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 05	5252004

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-6 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1, line 8, change "then" to –than--; claim 4 should end with a period (.); claim 8 should depend from claim 7. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over de La Cruz et al. (6,616,482) in view of Saito et al. (6,428,364).

In regard to claim 1, De La Cruz et al. discloses a contact positioning assembly for a cable comprising: a plurality of contacts 11 attached to conductors 4 of an electrical cable 2, the conductors 4 secured in radially outward facing U-shaped slots 35 of a first spacer 34 for positioning the conductors 4, the number of slots 35 corresponding to the number of conductors 4 so that each slot 35 holds a single conductor 4 (column 4, lines 33-37); wherein the first spacer 34 is generally cylindrical-shaped with the slots 35 equally spaced around its circumference.

However, De La Cruz does not disclose that the slots 35 are sized smaller than an outside diameter of the conductors 4 so as to provide a frictional interference

Art Unit: 2833

between the slots 35 and conductors 4 for securely holding the conductors 4 in the slots 35.

Saito et al. discloses that the slots 53, 54 are sized smaller than an outside diameter of the conductors 50 so as to provide a frictional interference between the slots 53, 54 and conductors 50 for securely holding the conductors 50 in the slots 53, 54, thereby facilitating pressure-welding work of the conductors 50 (column 9, lines 10-15):

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify De La Cruz et al invention by constructing the slots as disclosed by Saito et al. in order to facilitate the coupling of the conductors and thus the assembling time of a worker would be reduced.

In regard to claim 2, De La Cruz et al. discloses that there are four slots 35 and four conductors 4:

In regard to claim 3, De La Cruz et al. discloses that a base portion of the first spacer 34 engages rings 26 on the contacts 11 to prevent the contacts 11 from sliding.

In regard to claim 4, De La Cruz et al. discloses that the slots 35 are separated by walls 44, the walls 44 extending above the slots 35 and abutting a cable ferrule 10, the ferrule 10 securing the first spacer 34 between itself and the rings 26.

Art Unit: 2833

In regard to claim 5, De La Cruz et al. discloses that a second spacer 24 that slides over the contacts 11 and is positioned adjacent the first spacer 34.

In regard to claim 6, De La Cruz et al. discloses that the first spacer 34 is made of a dielectric material to provide electrical shielding.

In regard to claim 7, De La Cruz et al. discloses a spacer for positioning conductors 4 of an electrical cable 2 comprising: a generally cylindrical spacer 34 having U-shaped slots 35 equally spaced around the circumference of the spacer 34, the slots 35 having openings facing radially outward and the slots 35 being adapted to hold a single conductor 4 (column 4, lines 33-37).

However, De La Cruz does not disclose that the slots 35 being dimensioned slightly smaller than the diameter of the conductors 4 so as to provide frictional interference to hold the conductors 4.

Saito et al. discloses that the slots 53, 54 are sized smaller than an outside diameter of the conductors 50 so as to provide a frictional interference between the slots 53, 54 and conductors 50 for securely holding the conductors 50 in the slots 53, 54, thereby facilitating pressure-welding work of the conductors 50 (column 9, lines 10-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify De La Cruz et al invention by constructing the

Art Unit: 2833

slots as disclosed by Saito et al. in order to facilitate the coupling of the conductors and thus the assembling time of a worker would be reduced.

In regard to claim 8, De La Cruz et al. discloses that the spacer 34 is made of a dielectric material.

In regard to claim 9, De La Cruz et al. discloses that the slots 35 are separated by walls 44 that extend above the slots 35, a top portion of the walls 44 being adapted to abut a cable ferrule 10 and a base portion of the spacer 34 adapted to abut contact rings 26, wherein the spacer 34 is secured between the cable ferrule 10 and contact rings 26.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho D. Ta whose telephone number is (571) 272-2014. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2833

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

THO D. TA
PRIMARY EXAMINER